

# Invariants in Distributed Algorithms

Y. Annie Liu, Scott D. Stoller

Computer Science Department  
Stony Brook University

joint work with  
Saksham Chand, Bo Lin, and Xuétian Weng

# Distributed algorithms and correctness

---

distributed systems: increasingly **important and complex**

everyday life: search engines, social networks, electronic commerce, cloud computing, mobile computing, ...

distributed algorithms: increasingly **needed and complex**

for distributed control and distributed data, e.g., distributed consensus, DHT, ...

correctness guarantees: increasingly **needed and challenging**

safety, liveness, fairness, ..., improved guarantees

# Expressing and understanding algorithms

---

need languages

- pseudocode languages and English high-level  
in many textbooks and papers
- specification languages precise  
TLA and PlusCal by Lamport,  
IOA and TIOA by Lynch's group, ...
- programming languages executable  
Argus by Liskov's group, Emerald, Erlang, ...  
libraries in C, C++, Java, Python, ...: socket, MPI, ...

**DistAlgo**: combines advantages of all three [TOPLAS 2017]

# Overview

---

**DistAlgo**: expressing, understanding, optimizing, and improving distributed algorithms

example: Lamport's algorithm for distributed mutual exclusion

**verification**: formal semantics, translation to TLA+

proofs using TLAPS: Paxos for distributed consensus

model checking using TLC: Lamport's distributed mutex

**invariants**: clear specs, optimization, improvement, easier proofs

through high-level queries over history variables

# Lamport's distributed mutual exclusion

---

Lamport developed it to show the logical clocks he invented  
n processes access a shared resource, need mutex, go in CS  
requests must be granted in the order in which they are made

a process that wants to enter critical section (CS)

- send requests to all
- wait for replies from all
- enter CS
- send releases to all

each process maintains a queue of requests

- order by logical timestamps
- enter CS only if its request is the first on the queue
- when receiving a request, enqueue
- when receiving a release, dequeue

reliable, fifo channel — safety, liveness, fairness, efficiency

requests are granted in the order of timestamps of requests

# How to express it

---

two extremes:

- English: clear high-level flow; imprecise, informal
- state machine based specs: precise; low-level control flow  
e.g., Nancy Lynch's I/O automata (1 1/5 pages, most 2-col.)

many in between, e.g.:

- Michel Raynal's pseudocode: still informal and imprecise
- Leslie Lamport's PlusCal on top of TLA+: still complex  
(90 lines excluding comments and empty lines, by Merz)
- Robbert van Renesse's pseudocode: precise, partly high-level

lack concepts for building real systems — much more complex  
most of these are not executable at all.

# Lamport's original description in English

---

The algorithm is then defined by the following five rules. For convenience, the actions defined by each rule are assumed to form a single event.

1. To request the resource, process  $P_i$  sends the message  $T_m : P_i \text{ requests resource}$  to every other process, and puts that message on its request queue, where  $T_m$  is the timestamp of the message.

2. When process  $P_j$  receives the message  $T_m : P_i \text{ requests resource}$ , it places it on its request queue and sends a (timestamped) acknowledgment message to  $P_i$ .

3. To release the resource, process  $P_i$  removes any  $T_m : P_i \text{ requests resource}$  message from its request queue and sends a (timestamped)  $P_i \text{ releases resource}$  message to every other process.

4. When process  $P_j$  receives a  $P_i \text{ releases resource}$  message, it removes any  $T_m : P_i \text{ requests resource}$  message from its request queue.

5. Process  $P_i$  is granted the resource when the following two conditions are satisfied: (i) There is a  $T_m : P_i \text{ requests resource}$  message in its request queue which is ordered before any other request in its queue by the relation  $<$ . (To define the relation  $<$  for messages, we identify a message with the event of sending it.) (ii)  $P_i$  has received an acknowledgment message from every other process timestamped later than  $T_m$ .

Note that conditions (i) and (ii) of rule 5 are tested locally by  $P_i$ .

order  $<$  on requests: pairs of logical time and process id.

There will be an interesting exercise later, if there is time.

# Challenges in expressing it

---

each process must

- act as both  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  in interactions with all other processes
- have an order of handling all events by the 5 rules, trying to enter and exit CS while also responding to msgs from others
- keep testing the complex condition in rule 5 as events happen

actual implementations need many more details

- create processes, let them establish channels with each other
- incorporate appropriate clocks (e.g., Lamport, vector) if needed
- guarantee the specified channel properties (e.g., reliable, FIFO)
- integrate the algorithm with the overall application

how to do all of these in an easy and modular fashion?

- for both correctness verification and performance optimization

# DistAlgo language

---

as extensions to common high-level languages  
including a syntax for extensions to Python

distributed processes and sending messages

```
process P: ...                               define setup(pars), run(), receive  
send ms to ps
```

control flows and receiving messages

```
-- l:                                       yield point for handling msgs  
receive m from p: ...                       handler  
await cond1: ... or...or condk: ... timeout t: ...
```

high-level queries of message histories

```
some v1 in s1, ..., vk in sk has cond           also each/set/min  
received m is same as m in received
```

configurations

```
configure clock = Lamport  
ps := n new P                                       call setup/start
```

# Original algorithm in DistAlgo

---

```
1  def setup(s):
2      self.s := s                # set of all other processes
3      self.q := {}              # set of pending requests with logical clock

4  def mutex(task):              # for doing task() in critical section
5      -- request
6      self.t := logical_time()   # rule 1
7      send ('request', t, self) to s #
8      q.add(('request', t, self)) #
9      await each ('request',t2,p2) in q | (t2,p2) != (t,self) implies (t,self) < (t2,p2)
10     and each p2 in s | some received ('ack',t2,=p2) | t2 > t # rule 5
11     task()                     # critical section
12     -- release
13     q.del(('request', t, self)) # rule 3
14     send ('release', logical_time(), self) to s #

15 receive ('request', t2, p2):   # rule 2
16     q.add(('request', t2, p2)) #
17     send ('ack', logical_time(), self) to p2 #

18 receive ('release', _, p2):   # rule 4
19     q.del(('request', _, =p2)) #
```

# Complete program in DistAlgo

---

```
0  process P:

    ... # content of the previous slide

20  def run():
21      def task(): output(self, 'in critical section')
22      mutex(task)

23  def main():
24      configure clock = Lamport
25      configure channel = {reliable, fifo}
26      ps := 50 new P
27      for p in ps: p.setup(ps-{p})
28      ps.start()
```

some syntax in Python:

```
class P( process )
send( m, to= ps )
some( elem in s, has= bexp )
config( clock= 'Lamport' )
new( P, num= 50 )
```

# Formal operational semantics

---

Reduction semantics with evaluation contexts  
for a core language for DistAlgo

- Traditional constructs
  - Booleans, integers, addresses
  - class definition, object creation, method call, ...
  - `if`, `while`, `for` (over sets), assignment, ...
- DistAlgo constructs
  - `start`, `send`, `receive` handlers, `await`
  - set comprehension and quantifications with tuple patterns in membership clauses

Some constructs (e.g., tuple patterns, set comprehensions) are given semantics by translation.

# Formal semantics: Overview

---

**state:** local state of each process + message channel contents

**local state:** heap + statement remaining to be executed

**evaluation context:** identifies the sub-expression or sub-statement to be evaluated next

**transition:** updates the statement (e.g., removes the part just executed, unrolls a loop, or inlines a method call), the local heap, and the message channel contents

**execution:** sequence of transitions starting from an initial state

- may terminate, get stuck, or continue forever

# Formal semantics: Evaluation context

---

**evaluation context:** an expression or statement with a hole, denoted  $[]$ , in place of the next sub-expression or sub-statement to be evaluated.

```
 $C ::= []$   
   $(Val^*, C, Expression^*)$   
   $C.MethodName(Expression^*)$   
   $Address.MethodName(Val^*, C, Expression^*)$   
   $UnaryOp(C)$   
  some  $Pattern$  in  $C$  |  $Expression$   
  if  $C$ :  $Statement$  else:  $Statement$   
  for  $InstanceVariable$  in  $C$ :  $Statement$   
  send  $C$  to  $Expression$   
  send  $Val$  to  $C$   
  await  $Expression$  :  $Statement$   $AnotherAwaitClause^*$  timeout  $C$   
  ...
```

# Formal semantics: Transition relation

---

---

$\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$  state  $\sigma$  can transition to state  $\sigma'$ .

state: a tuple of the form  $(P, ht, h, ch, mq)$

$P$ : map from process address to remaining statement

$h$ : heap,  $ht$ : heap type map

$ch$ : message channel contents (messages in transit)

$mq$ : message queue contents (arrived, unhandled messages)

sample transition rule

// context rule for statements

$$\frac{(P[a \rightarrow s], ht, h, ch, mq) \rightarrow (P[a := s'], ht', h', ch', mq')}{(P[a \rightarrow C[s]], ht, h, ch, mq) \rightarrow (P[a := C[s']], ht', h', ch', mq')}$$

## Transition rule for handling messages

---

// handle a message at a yield point. remove the  
// (message, sender) pair from the message queue, append a  
// copy to the `received` sequence, and prepare to run  
// matching receive handlers associated with  $\ell$ , if any.  
//  $s$  has a label hence must be `await`.

$(P[a \rightarrow \ell \ s], ht, h[a \rightarrow ha], ch, mq[a \rightarrow q])$

$\rightarrow (P[a := s'[\text{self} := a]; \ell \ s],$   
     $ht', h[a \rightarrow ha'[a_r \rightarrow ha(a_r) \textcircled{\langle copy \rangle}]],$   
     $ch, mq[a := \text{rest}(q)])$

if  $length(q) > 0 \wedge a_r = ha(a)(\text{received})$   
     $\wedge isCopy(first(q), ha, ha, ht, copy, ha', ht')$   
     $\wedge receiveAtLabel(first(q), \ell, ht(a), ha') = S$   
     $\wedge s'$  is a linearization of  $S$

## Transition rule for starting a process

---

// process.start allocates a local heap and sent and received  
// sequences for the new process, and moves the started  
// process to the new local heap.  
 $(P[a \rightarrow a'.start()], ht, h[a \rightarrow ha[a' \rightarrow o], ch, mq])$   
 $\rightarrow (P[a := skip, a' := a'.run()], ht[a_s := sequence, a_r := sequence],$   
 $h[a := ha \ominus a', a' := f_0[a' \rightarrow o[sent := a_s, received := a_r],$   
 $a_r := \langle \rangle, a_s := \langle \rangle]),$   
 $ch, mq)$   
if  $extends(ht(a'), process) \wedge (ht(a')$  inherits start from process)  
 $\wedge a_r \notin dom(ht) \wedge a_s \notin dom(ht)$   
 $\wedge a_r \in NonProcessAddress \wedge a_s \in NonProcessAddress$

# Formal verification: Translation to TLA+

---

- manual specification: for using TLC and TLAPS at all
  - Basic Paxos, Multi, Fast, Vertical: checking using TLC
  - Multi-Paxos, Multi-Paxos with Preemption, minimally ext.
    - Lamport et al's Basic Paxos: safety proof in TLAPS
- manual translation: for safety proof of more complex Paxos
  - Multi with Preemption, state reduction, failure detection
- automatic translation: from
  - first: Python parser AST, second: own parser AST,
  - last: Python parser own AST
  - ongoing: DistAlgo actions—a DistAlgo subset

# Model checking using TLC

---

using manual specification:

- checking small number of processes, simpler algorithms:  
Basic Paxos, Fast Paxos, Vertical Paxos: 3 acceptors...
- too slow for more complex algorithms or more processes:  
Multi-Paxos,  $> 3$  processes...
- did not find any violations even when there was  
a more complex variant of Multi-Paxos

using automatically translated: from much worse to worse

- first: each DistAlgo construct into 1 or more TLA+ actions
- last: use low-level intermediate rep. and compiler opts

Lamport's distributed mutex, number of states:

- Lamport TLA+: 28,358. our generated with last: 37,978
- Merz TLA+: 1,180,688. our generated with last: 2,052,276

# Summary

---

**DistAlgo**: expressing, understanding, optimizing, and improving distributed algorithms

example: Lamport's algorithm for distributed mutual exclusion

**verification**: formal semantics, translation to TLA+

proofs using TLAPS: Paxos for distributed consensus

model checking using TLC: Lamport's distributed mutex

**invariants**: clear specs, optimization, improvement, easier proofs

through high-level queries over history variables

# Invariants in distributed algorithms

---

high-level queries over history variables, allowing

clear specifications:

- use high-level queries for synchronization conditions

optimization by incrementalization:

- transform expensive queries into incremental updates

algorithm improvements:

- simplified and improved algorithms (correctness and efficiency)

easier proofs:

- need fewer manually written invariants

# Lamport's dist. mutex: Simplified, improved

**Original.** in DistAlgo, at same high level as Lamport's English, except operations of both  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  are operations of  $P$

**Send-to-self.** in 1&3,  $P_i$  need not enqueue/dequeue own request, but send request/release to all incl. self. 2&4 does enq/deq.

**Inc-with-queue.** expensive conditions (i)&(ii) in 5 are optimized by incremental maintenance as messages are received, incl. using dynamic queue for minimum of other reqs in (i).

**Ignore-self.** discovered in Inc-with-queue, in 1&3,  $P_i$  need not enqueue/dequeue own request or send request/release to self. (i) in 5 compares only with other requests anyway.

**Inc-without-queue.** (i) in 5 is better optimized by inc. maint., by using just a count of requests  $<$  own request, and using a bit for each process if messages can be duplicated.

**Simplified.** discovered in Inc-with-queue and Inc-without-queue, (i) in 5 can just compare with request for which a release has not been received, omitting all updates of queue in 1-4.

# Lamport's dist. mutex: Improved fairness

---

further simplifications:

remove unnecessary uses of logical clocks

improved understanding of fairness

use of any ordering for fairness:

including improved fairness

for granting requests in the order they are made,  
over using logical clock values

discovery that logical clocks are not fair in general

exercise: for Lamport's mutex, if follow original English exactly,  
easy to see safety and liveness violations too

# Paxos made moderately complex: simplified and improved

---

Paxos made moderately complex [vRA 2015-ACMCS]:

Multi-Paxos with preemption, reconfiguration, state reduction, and failure detection

simplified specification: total about 50 lines

without scattered updates, from already greatly reduced

found errors and improvements:

previously unknown

useless replies, unnecessary delays, a liveness violation

and a safety violation in an earlier spec of ours

through TLAPS proof effort! after several years of teaching,  
with special efforts in testing and model checking

# References

---

DistAlgo language and optimization [OOPSLA 2012/TOPLAS 2017]  
implementation [OOPSLA 2012] formal semantics [TOPLAS 2017]  
high-level executable specifications of distributed algorithms [SSS  
2012]

TLA specification and TLAPS proofs of Multi-Paxos [FM 2016]  
TLA specification and TLAPS proofs using history variables  
[NFM 2018]

moderated complex Paxos made simple [arXiv 2017/18]

logical clocks are not fair [APPLIED 2018]

# DistAlgo resources

---

<http://github.com/DistAlgo>

<http://distalgo.sourceforge.net>

README

can download — unzip — run script without installation

or to install: add to python path or run `python setup.py install`

or not even download if you have pip: run `pip install pyDistAlgo`

<http://distalgo.cs.stonybrook.edu>

tutorial (to update)

language description

formal operational semantics

more example algorithms given with DistAlgo implementation  
among a wide variety of algorithms and protocols in DistAlgo,  
including core of many distributed systems and services in  
dozens of different course projects by hundreds of students

# Ongoing and future work

---

easier and simpler specifications

**DistAlgo actions:** DistAlgo subset corresp. to TLA actions

more automated proofs

**direct translation** to TLA+

**automated proof by induction:** corresp. to incrementalization

many additional, improved analyses and optimizations:

type analysis, deadcode analysis, cost analysis, ...

efficient C/Erlang implementation, ... new algorithms

languages for more advanced computations:

security protocols, probabilistic inference, ...

Thanks !

# Optimized w/ queue after incrementalization

---

```
0 class P extends process:
1   def setup(s):
2     self.s := s                                # self.q was removed
3     self.total := size(s)                      # total number of other processes
4     self.ds := new DS()                       # aux DS for maint min of requests by other processes

5   def mutex(task):
6     -- request
7     self.t := logical_time()
8     self.responded := {}                      # set of responded processes
9     self.count := 0                           # count of responded processes
10    send ('request', t, self) to s             # q.add(...) was removed
11    await (ds.is_empty() or (t,self) < ds.min()) and count = total # use maintained
12    task()
13    -- release
14    send ('release', logical_time(), self) to s # q.del(...) was removed

15  receive ('request', t2, p2):
16    ds.add((t2,p2))                            # add to the auxiliary data structure
17    send ('ack', logical_time(), self) to p2   # q.add(...) was removed

18  receive ('ack', t2, p2):                    # new message handler
19    if t2 > t:                                  # test comparison in condition 2
20      if p2 in s:                              # test membership in condition 2
21        if p2 not in responded:               # test whether responded already
22          responded.add(p2)                   # add to responded
23          count += 1                           # increment count

24  receive ('release', _, p2):                  # q.del(...) was removed
25    ds.del( (_,=p2))                           # remove from the auxiliary data structure
```

# Optimized w/o queue after incrementalization

---

```
0 class P extends process:
1   def setup(s):
2     self.s := s
3     self.q := {} # self.q is kept as a set, no aux ds
4     self.total := size(s) # total num of other processes

5   def mutex(task):
6     -- request
7     self.t = logical_time()
8     self.earlier := q # set of pending earlier reqs
9     self.count1 := size(earlier) # num of pending earlier reqs
10    self.responded := {} # set of responded processes
11    self.count := 0 # num of responded processes
12    send ('request', t, self) to s
13    q.add(('request', t, self)) # q.add is kept, no aux ds.add
14    await count1 = 0 and count = total # use maintained results
15    task()
16    -- release
17    q.del(('request', t, self)) # q.del is kept, no aux ds.add
18    send ('release', logical_time(), self) to s

19 receive ('request', t2, p2):
20   if t != undefined: # if t is defined
21     if (t,self) > (t2,p2): # test comparison in conjunct 1
22       if ('request',t2,p2) not in earlier: # if not in earlier
23         earlier.add(('request',t2,p2)) # add to earlier
24         count1 += 1 # increment count1
25   q.add(('request',t2,p2)) # q.add is kept, no aux ds.add
26   send ('ack', logical_time(), self) to p2
```

```

27 receive ('ack', t2, p2):
28     if t2 > t:
29         if p2 in s:
30             if p2 not in responded:
31                 responded.add(p2)
31                 count += 1
32
33 receive ('release', _, p2):
34     if t != undefined:
35         if (t,self) > (t2,p2):
36             if ('request',t2,p2) in earlier:
37                 earlier.del(('request',t2,p2))
38                 count1 -=1
39     q.del(('request',_,=p2))

```

# new message handler  
# test comparison in conjunct 2  
# test membership in conjunct 2  
# test whether responded already  
# add to responded  
# increment count  
  
# if t is defined  
# test comparison in conjunct 1  
# if in earlier  
# delete from earlier  
# decrement count1  
# q.del is kept, no aux ds.del

# Simplified algorithm

---

```
0 process P:
1   def setup(s):
2     self.s := s

3   def mutex(task):
4     -- request
5     self.t = logical_time()
6     send ('request', t, self) to s
7     await each received ('request',t2,p2) |
8       not (some received ('release',t3,=p2) | t3 > t2) implies (t,self) < (t2,p2)
9       and each p2 in s | some received ('ack',t2,=p2) | t2 > t
9     task()
10    -- release
11    send ('release', logical_time(), self) to s

12 receive ('request', _, p2):
13   send ('ack', logical_time(), self) to p2
```

eliminated all updates of queue

by un-incrementalization

# Further simplified algorithm (1/2)

---

```
0 process P:
1   def setup(s):
2     self.s := s

3   def mutex(task):
4     -- request
5     self.t := logical_time()
6     send ('request', t, self) to s
7     await each received ('request',t2,p2) |
8         not received ('release',t2,p2) implies (t,self) < (t2,p2)
9         and each p2 in s | some received ('ack',t2,p2) | t2 > t
9     task()
10    -- release
11    send ('release', t, self) to s

12 receive ('request', _, p2):
13   send ('ack', logical_time(), self) to p2
```

removed unnecessary use of logical times in release messages

## Further simplified algorithm (2/2)

---

```
0 process P:
1   def setup(s):
2     self.s := s

3   def mutex(task):
4     -- request
5     self.t := logical_time()
6     send ('request', t, self) to s
7     await each received ('request',t2,p2) |
8         not received ('release',t2,p2) implies (t,self) < (t2,p2)
9         and each p2 in s | received ('ack',t,p2)
9     task()
10    -- release
11    send ('release', t, self) to s

12 receive ('request', t2, p2):
13   send ('ack', t2, self) to p2
```

removed unnecessary use of logical times in ack messages

logical times are used only in request messages

# DistAlgo language overview

---

as extensions to common object-oriented languages

including a syntax for extensions to Python

1. distributed processes and sending messages
2. control flows and receiving messages
3. high-level queries of message histories
4. configurations

# 1. Distributed processes, sending messages

---

process definition

```
process p: process_body                setup, run, self  
class p (process): process_body
```

process creation, setup, and start

```
v = n new p at node_exp  
v = new(p, at = node_exp, num = n)  
pexp.setup(args)  
setup(pexp, (args))  
pexp.start()  
start(pexp)
```

sending messages (usually tuples)

```
send mexp to pexp  
send(mexp, to = pexp)
```

## 2. Control flows, receiving messages

---

yield point with label

```
-- l:
```

```
-- l
```

handling messages received

```
receive mexp from pexp at l1, ..., lj:  
    handler_body
```

```
def receive(msg = mexp, from_ = pexp, at = (l1, ..., lj)):  
    handler_body
```

synchronization (nondeterminism)

```
await bexp
```

```
await(bexp)
```

```
await bexp1: stmt1 or ... or bexpk: stmtk
```

```
timeout t: stmt
```

```
if await(bexp1): stmt1 elif ... elif bexpk: stmtk
```

```
elif timeout(t): stmt
```

### 3. High-level queries of message histories

---

message sequences: received, sent

*received mexp from pexp*

*mexp from pexp in received*

*received(mexp, from\_ = pexp)*

*(mexp, pexp) in received*

1) comprehensions

*{exp: v<sub>1</sub> in sexp<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>k</sub> in sexp<sub>k</sub>, bexp}*

*setof(exp, v<sub>1</sub> in sexp<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>k</sub> in sexp<sub>k</sub>, bexp)*

2) aggregates

*agg\_op comprehension\_exp*

*agg\_op(comprehension\_exp)*

3) quantifications

*some v<sub>1</sub> in sexp<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>k</sub> in sexp<sub>k</sub> has bexp*

*each v<sub>1</sub> in sexp<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>k</sub> in sexp<sub>k</sub> has bexp*

*some(v<sub>1</sub> in sexp<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>k</sub> in sexp<sub>k</sub>, has = bexp)*

*each(v<sub>1</sub> in sexp<sub>1</sub>, ..., v<sub>k</sub> in sexp<sub>k</sub>, has = bexp)*

tuple patterns, left side of membership clause

## 4. Configurations

---

### channel types

```
configure channel = fifo
config(channel = 'fifo')
default is not FIFO or reliable
```

### message handling

```
configure handling = all
config(handling = 'all')
this is the default
```

### logical clocks

```
configure clock = Lamport
config(clock = 'Lamport')
call logical_time() to get the logical time
```

### overall: .da files

process definitions, method `main`, and conventional parts;  
main: configurations and process creation, setup, and start